

Advanced MR Imaging Protocol for Glioblastoma

Objective: Our goal is to develop and validate advanced MRI for identifying the most aggressive components of glioblastoma (GBM) for radiation boosting and for reliable therapy response assessment at multi-institutes.

Background: It is well known that post-Gd T1 weighted and FLAIR images underestimate and overestimate the tumor volume of GBM, respectively, and cannot assess therapy response reliably. In the last decade, physiological and metabolic imaging biomarkers (including both MRI and PET) have been developed for therapy assessment, and to a lesser extent for definition of treatment target of GBM.(1-16) Considering the wide availability, we focus on MRI techniques. Several MRI techniques have shown the predictive values for OS and PSF, including cerebral blood volume (CBV) (6-10), choline to N-acetylaspartate ratio (Cho/NAA) from 1H-MR spectroscopy imaging (1H-MRSI) (11-13), and functional diffusion map (fDM) derived from two time points of conventional diffusion-weighted (DW) imaging (with b-value < 1000 s/mm^2 (4, 5). Recently, we developed an imaging technique for detection of hypercellular components of GBM using high b-value DW imaging by suppressing MR signals from edema and normal tissue.(3) We found that 1) the hypercellular volume (HCV) was a negative predictor for PSF, 2) the non-enhanced HCV could be treated inadequately due to poorer detection by conventional MRI, and 3) the mis-treated HCV resulted in rapid progression. These findings suggest that the HCV is an aggressive component of GBM, and could be targeted by intensified radiation doses or surgery to improve outcome. Also, this technique is easily deployed in a large scale clinical trial. Furthermore, biological heterogeneity of GBM indicates single imaging modality may not be sufficient to detect different image-phonotypes and assess heterogeneity response in GBM. Based upon these evidences, we develop the following MRI protocol for GBM.

MRI Protocol

A MRI protocol has been developed and evaluated on a 3T Siemens scanner in the University of Michigan and led by Dr. Yue Cao.

List of image series

- 1. Localizer
- 2. 3D T1-weighted images pre-contrast
- 3. 2D FALIR images
- 4. 2D multiple b-value diffusion weighted images
- 5. T1/B1 mapping
- 6. Dryrun for DCE

- 7. DCE series with contrast
- 8. 3D T1-weighted images post-contrast
- 9. DTI series

Acquisition Coil, Pulse Sequence and Parameters Coil: Standard HN coil

- 1. Standard 3 orthogonal planes localizer
- 2. 3D T1-weighted images pre-contrast

Sequence type	MPRAGE	TI (ms)	900
3D or 2D	3D	TE (ms)	Min (2.4)
FOV (mm)	256x256x192	TR (ms)	1900
Voxel Size	1x1x1	Flip angle	9
(mm)		(degree)	
Orientation	Sag*	Parallel	2
		imaging factor	
# of slices	Whole brain	Average	1

*: can be reformatted to other orientations as physicians indicate.

3. 2D FALIR images

Sequence type	*tir2dl_16	TI (ms)	2370
3D or 2D	2D	TE (ms)	150
FOV (mm)	195x195x250	TR (ms)	8000
Voxel Size	1x1x3.9	Average	1
(mm)			
Orientation	Axial	Parallel	2
		imaging factor	
Slice thickness	3 mm with 30%	#of slices	Whole brain
and gap	gap		

4. 2D multiple b-value diffusion weighted images

1	0	0	
Sequence type	Ep2d (*ep_b0)	TE (ms)	Min(98)
3D or 2D	2D	TR (ms)	8200
FOV (mm)	270x270x144	Diffusion	Bipolar*
		Gradient	
Voxel Size	1.4x1.4x4.8	3 orthogonal	yes
(mm)		diffusion	
		directions	
Slice thickness	4mm and 20%	b-value	0, 1000, 2000
and gap		(s/mm2)	and 3000
Orientation	Axial	Average	1, 2, 3 and 4
			for 4 b-values
# of slices	Whole brain	Parallel	4**

ima	iging	factor
		Incrol

*: to reduce eddy current; **: to reduce geometric distortion

5. T1/B1 mapping

DI			
Sequence type	tfl2dl-512	TE (ms)	Min(1.8)
3D or 2D	2D	TR (ms)	5050
FOV (mm)	260x260x210	Flip angle	8
		(degree)	
Voxel Size	6x6x16	Average	1
(mm)			
Slice thickness	8 mm with		
and gap	100%		
Orientation	Sag		
# of slices	Whole brain		

*: we started the protocol without B1 mapping. By then, it was not available to us.

T1 mapping			
Sequence type	3dgre (*fl3dl)	TE (ms)	Min(2.1)
3D or 2D	3D	TR (ms)	7
FOV (mm)	256x256x208	Flip angle	2, 5, 10, 15,
		(degree)*	and 30
Voxel Size	2x2x2	Average	1
(mm)			
Orientation	Sag	Parallel	2
		imaging factor	
# of slices	Whole brain		

*: to reduce scanning time, three flip angles of 5, 10 and 30 can be used.

- 6. Dryrun for DCE: Parameters are identical to DCE series except it only runs 30-45 s. The purpose of it is to ensure the setup right before contrast injection.
- 7. DCE series with contrast

Sequence type	TWIST(*fldyn3dl)	TE (ms)	Min(~1)
3D or 2D	3D	TR (ms)	Min(~2.66)
FOV (mm)	260x260x160	Flip angle	10
		(degree)	
Voxel Size	1.8x1.8x1.8	# of dynamic	60
(mm)		phases	
Orientation	Sag	Temporal	~3
		resolution (s)	
# of slices	Whole brain	Average	1
Parallel		Center region	17%/20%
imaging factor		A/Sampling	
		density B	

*: single dose of Gd-based contrast injected with a rate of 2cc/s followed by 20 cc saline after acquiring 5 dynamic volumes

D II weighted muges post contrast				
Sequence type	MPRAGE	TI (ms)	900	
3D or 2D	3D	TE (ms)	Min (2.4)	
FOV (mm)	256x256x192	TR (ms)	1900	
Voxel Size	1x1x1	Flip angle	9	
(mm)		(degree)		
Orientation	Sag*	Parallel	2	
		imaging factor		
# of slices	Whole brain	Average	1	

8. 3D T1-weighted images post-contrast

*: can be reformatted to other orientations as physicians indicate.

9. DTI series

Sequence type	Ep2d (*ep_b0)	TE (ms)	Min(~95)
3D or 2D	2D	TR (ms)	Min(~4600)
FOV (mm)	220x220x140	Diffusion	bipolar
		Gradient	
Voxel Size	1.8x1.8x3.9	Directions of	20
(mm)		diffusion	
		weighting	
Slice thickness	3 mm with 30%	b-value	0 and 1000
and gap	gap	(s/mm2)	
Orientation	Axial	Average	3
# of slices	Whole brain	Parallel	2
		imaging factor	

Reference:

1. Grosu AL, Piert M, Weber WA, Jeremic B, Picchio M, Schratzenstaller U, et al. Positron emission tomography for radiation treatment planning. Strahlenther Onkol. 2005 Aug;181(8):483-99.

2. Lee IH, Piert M, Gomez-Hassan D, Junck L, Rogers L, Hayman J, et al. Association of 11C-methionine PET uptake with site of failure after concurrent temozolomide and radiation for primary glioblastoma multiforme. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2009 Feb 1;73(2):479-85.

3. Pramanik PP, Parmar HA, Mammoser AG, Junck LR, Kim MM, Tsien CI, et al. Hypercellularity Components of Glioblastoma Identified by High b-Value Diffusion-Weighted Imaging. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2015 Jul 15;92(4):811-9.

4. Chenevert TL, Stegman LD, Taylor JM, Robertson PL, Greenberg HS, Rehemtulla A, et al. Diffusion magnetic resonance imaging: an early surrogate marker of therapeutic efficacy in brain tumors. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2000 Dec 20;92(24):2029-36.

5. Hamstra DA, Galban CJ, Meyer CR, Johnson TD, Sundgren PC, Tsien C, et al. Functional diffusion map as an early imaging biomarker for high-grade glioma: correlation with conventional radiologic response and overall survival. J Clin Oncol. 2008 Jul 10;26(20):3387-94.

6. Law M, Young RJ, Babb JS, Peccerelli N, Chheang S, Gruber ML, et al. Gliomas: predicting time to progression or survival with cerebral blood volume measurements at dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced perfusion MR imaging. Radiology. 2008 May;247(2):490-8.

7. Cao Y, Nagesh V, Hamstra D, Tsien CI, Ross BD, Chenevert TL, et al. The extent and severity of vascular leakage as evidence of tumor aggressiveness in high-grade gliomas. Cancer Res. 2006 Sep 1;66(17):8912-7.

8. Cao Y, Sundgren PC, Tsien CI, Chenevert TT, Junck L. Physiologic and metabolic magnetic resonance imaging in gliomas. J Clin Oncol. 2006 Mar 10;24(8):1228-35.

9. Cao Y, Tsien CI, Nagesh V, Junck L, Ten Haken R, Ross BD, et al. Survival prediction in high-grade gliomas by MRI perfusion before and during early stage of RT [corrected]. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2006 Mar 1;64(3):876-85.

10. Jain R, Poisson L, Narang J, Gutman D, Scarpace L, Hwang SN, et al. Genomic mapping and survival prediction in glioblastoma: molecular subclassification strengthened by hemodynamic imaging biomarkers. Radiology. 2013 Apr;267(1):212-20.

11. Li X, Jin H, Lu Y, Oh J, Chang S, Nelson SJ. Identification of MRI and 1H MRSI parameters that may predict survival for patients with malignant gliomas. NMR Biomed. 2004 Feb;17(1):10-20.

12. Oh J, Henry RG, Pirzkall A, Lu Y, Li X, Catalaa I, et al. Survival analysis in patients with glioblastoma multiforme: predictive value of choline-to-N-acetylaspartate index, apparent diffusion coefficient, and relative cerebral blood volume. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2004 May;19(5):546-54.

13. Pirzkall A, Li X, Oh J, Chang S, Berger MS, Larson DA, et al. 3D MRSI for resected high-grade gliomas before RT: tumor extent according to metabolic activity in relation to MRI. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2004 May 1;59(1):126-37.

14. Graves EE, Nelson SJ, Vigneron DB, Chin C, Verhey L, McDermott M, et al. A preliminary study of the prognostic value of proton magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging in gamma knife radiosurgery of recurrent malignant gliomas. Neurosurgery. 2000 Feb;46(2):319-26; discussion 26-8.

15. Graves EE, Nelson SJ, Vigneron DB, Verhey L, McDermott M, Larson D, et al. Serial proton MR spectroscopic imaging of recurrent malignant gliomas after gamma knife radiosurgery. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2001 Apr;22(4):613-24.

16. Pirzkall A, McKnight TR, Graves EE, Carol MP, Sneed PK, Wara WW, et al. MRspectroscopy guided target delineation for high-grade gliomas. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2001 Jul 15;50(4):915-28.